

1 goes forward and there remains a dispute, that it would take  
2 some time for that to produce any value in the event of appeals  
3 and all that sort of thing. But, if the preliminary  
4 injunction's upheld, it might put Mr. Jape out of business.  
5 And -- but that might not save the debtor's business.

6 This is just money. You all have let this destroy  
7 your family. You really have. And over a business that over  
8 the long haul has never really been all that successful. And I  
9 just find that shocking. It's -- I thought that I heard the  
10 other day that there was some effort in April to do some sort  
11 of reconciliation. This is not a divorce court.

12 My best guess is that the marriage is never to be put  
13 back together again. The parties are probably better off  
14 getting a divorce, almost certainly. But, there's a business  
15 here, and now, apparently, two businesses. And is there a way  
16 to combine those businesses in a fashion that allows one party  
17 or the other to get some return from it over time, and the  
18 other party to go their separate way. Or is the thing to do,  
19 just to auction the whole thing off?

20 The plan that provides that a bunch of stock's going  
21 to be put in trust and that Mrs. Jape's going to own the  
22 company, but is going to pay for it later is a violation of the  
23 absolutely priority rule. That's just sweat equity. And when  
24 you turn around and raise the salary, which would seem to be a  
25 methodology for raising the money, where is it coming? It's